

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,700	12/11/2003	Balaji S. Thenthiruperai	2493	8862
28005 SPRINT	7590 01/29/20	01/29/2009 EXAMINER		IINER
6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-72100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			IQBAL, KHAWAR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) THENTHIRUPERALET AL. 10/734.700 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit GEORGE ENG 2617 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) GEORGE ENG. (3) (2) JOSEPH A. HERNDON (REG. NO. 50,469). (4)____. Date of Interview: 23 January 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Barclay (US 2003/0119522) and Hussain et al. (US 2002/0037750). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative pointed out the deficiency on Final Office action mailed 8/18/2008 and advisory mailed 11/26/2008. The arguments are persuasive and the previous actions will be vacated and a new office action will forth coming. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/George Eng/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617
U.S. Patent and Tinderna's Office